

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/580,993	05/27/2000	Richard Honea	-	9849
7	590 09/25/2002			
Michael J Colitz Jr.			EXAMINER	
217 Harbor Vio Largo, FL 33'	• —		VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

ij

Application No. **09/580,993** 

Applicant(s)

Honea

Examiner

Gail Verbitsky

Art Unit **2859** 



		1 (8) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVOIDE O MONITHIC EDOM
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(5) FROM
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app  a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause  ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of  d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	bly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Let the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/16/02 a	and 8/13/02
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🗓 This act	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance colosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5)□	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 2	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)[X]	The drawing(s) filed on	re a $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ accepted or $\overline{\mathbf{b}}$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved bil disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	$\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received in Application No
	application from the International Burea	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
·	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15) X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:
-,		

Art Unit: 2859

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wall (U.S. 5230158) in view of Troyer et al. (U.S. 4149320) [hereinafter Troyer].

Wall discloses in Fig. 1 a tape comprising a leading edge, a trailing edge, two longitudinal edges. First indicia positioned and occupies the entire length of a central portion of the tape, the indicia are spaced at a distance D1. Second indicia occupy the length of a first edge, its lines are equally spaced at a distance D2 which is different from the distance D1. Third indicia occupy the length of a second edge, its lines are equally spaced at a distance D3 which is different from the distances D1 and D2 (the numerals D1, D2, D3 have been added by the Examiner, see attachment to the Office Action).

Wall does not explicitly state that the tape is disposable.

Troyer discloses a disposable tape.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device disclosed by Wall so as to make the tape disposable, as

Application/Control Number: 09/580,993

Art Unit: 2859

taught by Troyer, so as it can be fixed in place and remain throughout and after the construction

procedure, in order to allow the user to stop the procedure and to return back to it at a desired

time without repeating the measurement steps.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 2 have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices...

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner Verbitsky

who can be reached at (703) 306-5473 Monday through Friday, 7:30 to 4:00 ET.

Any inquiry of general nature should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose

telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

GKV

September 11, 2002

Gail Verbitsky

6. Verbith

Patent Examiner

TC 2800

Page 3

